



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/786,436	07/16/2001	Hermann Wagner	C1041/7010	1340
7590 11/29/2005				
Alan W Steele Wolf Greenfield & Sacks Federal Reserve Plaza 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210-2211		EXAMINER WHITEMAN, BRIAN A		
		ART UNIT 1635 PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/786,436	WAGNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian Whiteman	1635	

All Participants:

(1) Brian Whiteman.

(2) Adel Stone.

Status of Application: After Final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant needed clarification on the time period for reply from the mailing date of the final rejection because applicant filed the response to the final rejection on 3 month date (10/7/05) and should not have been charged a one month extension. The time period is 3 months and not 4 months for reply from the mailing date of the final rejection. The charge for the one month extension has not been charged to applicant.